



Annex (1):Peer evaluation checklist

Key of Evaluation

- Ineffective= 0
- Poorly effective= 1
- Effective= 2
- Very effective= 3
- Not observed= --

A. Clarity and Organization

Items	Ineffective	Poorly Effective	Effective	Very Effective	Not observed
Clearly states purpose/ objectives of the lesson.					
Presented overview of lesson.					
Relates lesson to previously covered material.					
Presents material in a logical sequence.					
Paces lesson appropriately.					
Summarizes major points of lesson.					

B. Effective Communication

Items	Ineffective	Poorly Effective	Effective	Very Effective	Not observed
Projects voice, with intonation :easily heard.					
Varied explanations for complex and difficult material, using examples to clarify points.					
Defines unfamiliar terms, concepts and principles.					
Uses humor.					

C. Interaction with Students

Items	Ineffective	Poorly Effective	Effective	Very Effective	Not observed
Maintains student attention.					
Responds to nonverbal cues of confusion, boredom, and curiosity.					
Encourages student questions or discussion.					
Asks questions to monitor student progress.					
Listens to students questions and comments.					
Gives satisfactory answers to student questions.					





D. Instructional Materials and Environment

Items	Ineffective	Poorly Effective	Effective	Very Effective	Not observed
Prepares students for lesson with appropriate assigned reading.					
Present appropriate amount of material at appropriate level complexity: material up-to-date.					
Presents helpful audiovisual materials to support lesson organization and major points.					
Presents helpful written materials (syllabus and objectives, handouts) to reinforce key points .					
Environment was conductive to learning (organization, ventilationetc).					

E. Content Knowledge and Relevance

Items	Ineffective	Poorly Effective	Effective	Very Effective	Not observed
Material is relevant to educational objectives of the course.					
Demonstrates command of subject matter :information up-to-date.					

1. What were the instructor major strengths as demonstrated in this observation? 2. What suggestions do you have for improving the instructors skills? Name of observer: Name of observed staff member: Signature: Signature:



Basic information of the faculty staff member

Full name:



Annex (2): Faculty /teaching staff self evaluation template

This sheets aims to document and evaluate the academic performance of all faculties in the Nursing program based on objective specific standards, the total score for the sheet is 100 marks. Weights of different sections were given after referring back to the Faculty vision, Faculty and program missions and priorities. The section of participations in improving teaching and learning was given the highest weight (50%) for its importance at the current time. Flexibility was considered to highlight the individual merits and strength areas at different sections, for this distinction a 20% out of the total score was left for the staff member to allot on the sections she is distinctive in, taking into account that this percent should not be given on just one section of the sheet.

After being filled by the staff member, The sheet should be submitted to the quality assurance unit with the portfolio of the faculty staff member containing all the necessary documents to justify the evaluation.

Department:

Position/ Qualification		
1- Participations in development of teaching	and learning	
(50 marks/100 in the rate of 5 marks per a	achievement)	
Achievement	Evidence and documents for verification	Score
Use the recent researches at the field in developing the course/ courses.		
Use new teaching strategies.		
Use diverse assessment tools for student evaluation.		
Use recent technology in teaching and learning.		
Design of the curriculum map and matrix.		
Design e. courses.		
Use specification table in preparation of the exam paper.		
Submitting periodic reports on application of academic advising procedures and regulations.		
Application of curricular student activities.		
Participation in extracurricular student activities.		

2- Workshops and sen	ninars			
Attendance and participation in workshops in the area of improving teaching, administrative and/or personal skills.				
A minimum of 2 workshops per academic year (10 marks in the rate of 5 marks per workshop)				
Workshop title Place Date Documents S				





3- Participation in research activities A minimum of 2 participations per academic year (10 marks in the rate of 5 marks per activity)						
	Activity		Date		Documents	Score
Participation in organiza	ation of scientific seminars					
Attendance of scientific	seminars					
Scientific researches.						
Articles or essay.						
Books.						
Supervision and/or dresearches.	discussion of thesis and scientific papers	or				
Participation in commit	tees and or board of scientific journals.					
Participation in forums.						
		·				
	4- Participation in community					
A minimum of 2 activities per academic year (10 marks in t				arks per a	•	
Activity	Date	Documen	ocuments		Score	
	Evaluation criteria		Total	score	Percent	
Participati	ions in development of teaching and learning.					

Participation in research activities.	
Participation in community service activities.	
Sum.	





Annex (3): Program coordinator checklist for performance evaluation. Name of the evaluated faculty member:..... Academic Year: Position:.... Years of experience in the program: Results and remarks from the previous evaluation: **Key of Evaluation** 1.Unsatisfactory 2. Satisfactory but needs improvement in specific area (s) 3.Satisfactory N/O not observed A. Professionalism: 1. Commitment to teaching duties and schedules 2. Commitment to office hours. 3. Contribution in improvement of program and courses. 4. Respects rules and regulations. 5. Adherence to department and college decisions. **B.** University and community service: 1. Endures her responsibilities and finish them at time without complaining 2. Participates in development activities of the college/ University 3. Participates in extra curriculum student activities of the college/ university. C. Behavior and collaboration: 1. Highly committed with no dissents. 2. Cooperative with her colleague and other administrative staff. 3. Cooperative with the head of the dept. and the institution. 4. Accepts Criticism.





D. Strengths and weak areas:

Strengths:				
1				
2				
3				
Weakness:				
1				
2				
3				
E. Recommendation	ons for imp	rovement	<u>:</u>	
1			- 	
2				
3				
J				

Program coordinator Signature: